



The use of eye tracking for analyzing students' attention, emotional, and cognitive load

Svitlana Rogovchenko and
Yuriy Rogovchenko

Eye tracking feedback on student learning

- Long fixations and regressions may signal **difficult lexical access**. Lecturer may decide how much time should be allocated to users for looking at certain AOs.
- Learners with **stronger visual memory** but weaker verbal processing capabilities are likely to spend **more time looking at pictures** rather than at text.

Eye tracking feedback on student learning

- **Body temperature decreases during calculations and increases at the end of the task. Pupil size increases at the beginning of a task and goes back to its initial dimension at the end.**
- **Pupil size is directly correlated with the cognitive effort. It is significantly larger after highly arousing positive and negative stimuli than after neutral stimuli with medium arousal.**

Eye tracking feedback on student learning

- Cognitive load evaluation considers **blink rate, pupil size and fixation** data.
- During **searching**, the cognitive load is **higher**: saccades and blinks almost disappear, and pupil size is very large.
- **Saccadic rate decreases** from **preparation**, to **viewing**, to **searching**.

Eye tracking feedback on student learning

- Towards **the end** of the task, the **number of pupil dilations decreases**, but the **average pupil diameter increases**. **Smaller pupil dilations** towards the end of the task are known to be a sign of **tiredness**.
- The average **length of fixations** is **lower in visual tasks** compared to textual tasks.

Eye tracking feedback on student learning

- Most **peaks in pupil size match** relevant user “**behaviors**”: when a solution is discarded because recognized as wrong, or when the user recognizes the right choice, just before saying it out loud.
- Graphs for “**impossible problems**” have **many fluctuations**, although meaningful peaks in pupil size can be still identified. Numerous **peaks in pupil size without** the right **answer** indicate **user difficulties**.

Better learning from educational video

- (1) The onscreen instructor draws graphics on the board while lecturing (*dynamic drawing principle*).
- (2) The onscreen instructor shifts eye gaze between the audience and the board while lecturing (*gaze guidance principle*).

Mayer, R.E., Fiorella, L. & Stull, A. Five ways to increase the effectiveness of instructional video. *Education Tech Research Dev* 68, 837–852 (2020).

Better learning from educational video

- (3) The lesson contains prompts to engage in summarizing or explaining the material (*generative activity principle*).
- (4) A demonstration is filmed from a first-person perspective (*perspective principle*).
- (5) Subtitles are added to a narrated video that contains speech in the learner's second language (*subtitle principle*).

Mayer et al. (2020)

Instructor presence in video

“There are many open questions regarding the role of **instructor presence** in the design of video lectures, including the extent to which the instructor should be **visible to the audience**, the level of instructor **realism** (i.e., a human, robot, or animated agent), and which aspects of the instructor's **body** should be **visible** (i.e., full body, head, or hands).” (p. 264)

Stull, A.T., Fiorella, L., Mayer, R. E. An eye-tracking analysis of instructor presence in video lectures, *Computers in Human Behavior*, 88 (2018), pp. 263-272.

Instructor presence in video

“For example, the presence of the instructor rather than the voice-only narration, contributes to **positive perceptions** of learning and **satisfaction** while **lowering mental effort** although other research has shown **no learning difference** with the presence or absence of an instructor and yet others show the presence of the instructor to be **distracting**.” (Stull et al., 2018, p. 264)

Instructor presence in video

“Regarding the level of realism of the instructor, studies have suggested that students prefer **real human instructors** over animated humans although others have found that **animated robots** are preferred over real robots which suggests that realism may not be the most relevant characteristic to investigate.” (Stull et al., 2018, p. 264)

Instructor presence in video

“As an exogenous cue, the **instructor's eye-gaze automatically draws and directs attention**. When performed too frequently, a student's attention may be drawn away from important information without suitable time for that information to be organized and integrated for long-term learning. For these reasons, effective instruction should **minimize access to the instructor's face in video lectures**, and **when the instructor is visible, should implement eye gaze cues in a strategic manner.**” (Stull et al., 2018, p. 264)

Instructor presence in video

“We found that **eye movements** during watching of instructional videos are **similar between students**, in particular if they are **paying attention**. The effect of attention is strong, allowing one to detect with a few minutes of gaze-position data whether the student is distracted.” (p. 4)

J. Madsen, S.U. Júlio, P.J. Gucik, R. Steinberg, L.C. Parra, Synchronized eye movements predict test scores in online video education
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118 (2021).

Instructor presence in video

“Students performed well in subsequent quizzes if their eyes followed the material presented during the video in a stereotypical pattern. We replicated this finding in two subsequent laboratory experiments, where we confirmed that the effect persists when students do not expect to be quizzed and that the effect of attention does not depend on the specific type of video or the type of questions asked.” (Madsen et al., 2021, p. 4)

Instructor presence in video

“Thus, we conclude that one can detect students’ attentional engagement during online education with readily available technology. In fact, we can **predict how well students will perform** on a test related to an instructional video, by **looking at their eye movements** while maintaining online privacy. (Madsen et al., 2021, pp. 4-5)

Instructor presence in video

“Students performed well in subsequent quizzes if their eyes followed the material presented during the video in a stereotypical pattern.”

J. Madsen, S.U. Júlio, P.J. Gucik, R. Steinberg, L.C. Parra,
Synchronized eye movements predict test scores in online video
education Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118 (5)
(2021), Article e2016980118.

Instructor presence in video

“As an exogenous cue, the **instructor's eye-gaze automatically draws and directs attention**. When performed too frequently, a student's attention may be drawn away from important information without suitable time for that information to be organized and integrated for long-term learning. For these reasons, effective instruction should **minimize access to the instructor's face in video lectures**, and **when the instructor is visible, should implement eye gaze cues in a strategic manner.**” (Stull et al., 2018, p. 264)

Eye tracking: action plan

1. Literature analysis
2. Design of valid experiments, pre- and post quizzes, interviews
3. Creation of sample video materials by lecturers and students
4. Testing sample videos on lecturers and students
5. Simple post-video quizzes or interviews to assess learning and knowledge retention
6. Analysis of eye-tracking data and quizzes
7. Recommendations to lecturers

Eye tracking: testing and analysing videos

Creation of sample video materials by lecturers and students

- with/without lecturer/combo of these;
- dynamic writing/prepared slides/combo of these;
- writing on a board/tablet/pc/ combo of these.

1. **Testing** sample videos on lecturers and students
2. Simple post-video **quizzes or interviews** to assess learning and knowledge retention
3. Analysis of **own experience** and **recommendations** to lecturers

Coming next: sample video